```
G1s1munc
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
      -----x
 2
 3
     NEHMIAS MUNOZ,
                    Plaintiff,
 4
5
                                              14-CV-6628 (AT) (DCF)
                V.
6
     THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,
 7
                    Defendants.
                                             Telephone Conference
 8
                                              New York, N.Y.
9
                                              January 28, 2016
                                              9:32 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
                          HON. DEBRA C. FREEMAN,
12
                                              Magistrate Judge
13
                               APPEARANCES
14
                              (Via Telephone)
     NEHMIAS MUÑOZ
15
          Pro Se Plaintiff
16
     NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
17
     OFFICE OF THE CORPORATION COUNSEL
          For Defendants
     BY: ARIEL S. LICHTERMAN, ESQ., ACC
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
               (In chambers)
               THE COURT: Good morning. It's Judge Freeman.
 2
 3
              MR. LICHTERMAN: Good morning, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Mr. Muñoz, are you there?
              MR. MUÑOZ: Yes. Good morning --
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Good morning.
 7
              MR. MUÑOZ: -- Judge Freeman. How are you?
                          All right. How are you?
 8
              THE COURT:
9
              MR. MUÑOZ:
                          Okay.
10
              THE COURT: Is this Mr. Lichterman?
11
              MR. LICHTERMAN: Yes. Good morning, your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Good morning. I assume this is everybody
13
      on the call?
14
              MR. LICHTERMAN: Yes, that's correct.
15
              THE COURT: All right. There have been a couple of
      lawyers, I understand, who have inquired about possibly
16
17
      representing Mr. Muñoz for purposes of discovery, finishing
      discovery, but then they have not ended up taking the case and
18
      so I'm not sure where we are on that. Last I heard we did not
19
20
      have someone who was volunteering to take the case, so I think
21
      we're going to have to just move forward as best we can to get
22
      discovery finished.
23
              Last I recall, there was the interest by Mr. Muñoz in
24
      identifying witnesses at the store, and the city had identified
25
      only the store's owner, I think, and had only identified him by
```

initials. Is that correct?

MR. LICHTERMAN: That is correct.

THE COURT: All right. Other than trying to obtain information from any witnesses, is there any other discovery that remains outstanding at this point?

MR. LICHTERMAN: Yes, your Honor. At Mr. Muñoz's deposition, which I took towards the end of December, he had indicated that he had received various types of mental health and drug treatment both before and around the time of and after the incident. We asked for him to complete releases and for us to provide those records, and we have provided him with mostly completed releases that he just has to sign and notarize. We haven't received the releases yet back from him, but we would like those releases as well as those records.

THE COURT: Mr. Muñoz?

MR. MUÑOZ: Yeah, I just got them like four days ago. He sent them out I believe January 14, but I got it like four days ago. Today's the 28<sup>th</sup>, and it got here — received January 20<sup>th</sup>. It's a whole bunch of consent forms for some mental health programs. It's like — some of these, it's like unnecessary, 'cause it's dealing like before the crime. The only one that was really after the crime was the Realization program. He also put — he wants records from — not only from mental, from the mental health information, but he also wants — he also wants drug records, like, you know, those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

programs, they have MICA for the mentally ill and they also have rehab programs.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Muñoz, I think that because a central issue in this case is what your conduct was at the time of your arrest and --

MR. MUÑOZ: Right.

THE COURT: -- whether you are both credible in describing it and accurate in your ability to describe it, whether you were in any way impaired at that time, I think a question of whether you were having any substance abuse issues at the time of the arrest or having mental health problems that may or may not have been treated at the time of your arrest would be relevant on this question of what happened because it's my understanding there is a dispute regarding your conduct on that day, so I don't think that only the records after your arrest would be relevant. I do think that there could be a reasonable time limitation on the records that are obtained, but I do think that some records prior in time or roughly contemporaneous with that time, around that same time, would be relevant. Because let's say hypothetically if you were high at the time or if you had a mental health problem for which you did not take your medication at that time --

MR. MUÑOZ: Right.

THE COURT: -- that could impact on your conduct and your credibility with respect to what happened.

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. So -- all right. So Magistrate Judge Freeman, so you're saying you want me to -- so it's proper for me to do all of them? Because --

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lichterman, what is the time period you're requesting?

MR. LICHTERMAN: I had asked him to complete releases for five different facilities. I asked just for their complete files. I don't have the, you know -- Mr. Muñoz couldn't recall at what time or what dates he treated at these facilities. A number of them were for specifically mental health-related issues and they may have diagnosed him with mental illnesses. Again, at his deposition Mr. Muñoz couldn't recall, you know, when he went to these facilities, what exactly the treatment he received, what diagnoses he was given, so I was asking for the complete files from those facilities.

THE COURT: Well, with respect to substance abuse, any drug treatment program, I would think that a reasonably short time frame prior to the arrest would be relevant. For mental health, I could understand going back a little further just to see if there was a diagnosis, because sometimes you have a diagnosis and then you're just in treatment thereafter and there may not be a repeat notation in the record as to what the diagnosis is, so I can understand going back a little further in time, but not to the beginning of time. It seems to me there should be reasonable time limitations on these.

1	Mr. Muñoz, do you recall when you were in any drug
2	treatment program?
3	MR. MUÑOZ: Actually, I was in one program when the
4	crime when I had committed a crime, and it's called
5	Realization.
6	THE COURT: It's called
7	MR. MUÑOZ: I was there before and I was also I
8	went back when I got bailed out.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. MUÑOZ: I went back to my program.
11	THE COURT: Okay. What was the name of that program
12	again?
13	MR. MUÑOZ: It's called Realization.
14	THE COURT: Realization, like realizing something?
15	MR. MUÑOZ: Yeah, Realization program.
16	THE COURT: And Mr. Lichterman, is that one of the
17	releases that you asked for?
18	MR. LICHTERMAN: It is, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Lichterman, were there other drug
20	programs besides that one where you asked for a release?
21	MR. MUÑOZ: After or before?
22	THE COURT: I'm asking Mr. Lichterman if there were
23	any others he asked for.
24	MR. LICHTERMAN: If I'm not mistaken, Mr. Muñoz
25	received both mental health and drug-related treatment at some

1	of the facilities, so I'm not sure exactly what treatment he
2	received at the places, but I believe he did receive
3	MR. MUÑOZ: I got them right here, your Honor. At
4	Project Renewal, I was treated for both, for substance abuse
5	program and also mental health.
6	THE COURT: Can you tell me whether that was close in
7	time to your arrest.
8	MR. MUÑOZ: That was from 2008 to 2009 and a half, you
9	could say, I had finished.
10	THE COURT: Somewhere in 2009. What was the date of
11	the arrest?
12	MR. MUÑOZ: December I mean September 13, 2011,
13	2011.
14	THE COURT: 2011. So you had mental healthcare at
15	that program, Renewal?
16	MR. MUÑOZ: I was at Realization.
17	THE COURT: No, no, no. The program you just
18	mentioned. Renewal something?
19	MR. MUÑOZ: Oh, Project Renewal?
20	THE COURT: Project Renewal you finished sometime in
21	2009?
22	MR. MUÑOZ: Yes.
23	THE COURT: What were the other ones?
24	MR. MUÑOZ: Project Renewal and MICA program, also
25	called The Bridge.

25

When were you in that one? 1 THE COURT: 2 MR. MUÑOZ: That was part of Project Renewal program, 3 I had to go to The Bridge. 4 THE COURT: So that was also up to sometime in 2009? 5 MR. MUÑOZ: That was from 2008, same, 2009. 6 Okav. Okav. THE COURT: 7 MR. MUÑOZ: And then once I finished Project Renewal and The Bridge, I graduated, they put me in another mental 8 9 health program called Heritage Health housing, and I was there 10 from 2009 till 2010. I probably did like maybe, the most, a 11 year and a half. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. MUÑOZ: And then from there, that's when I -- I 14 went to move, I went to the shelter, 'cause I was -- I started 15 abusing drugs and started relapsing. THE COURT: So the shelter, that's just a place where 16 17 you were living. MR. MUÑOZ: I was in the shelter, yeah, and then from 18 the shelter, then I visited my fiancée a few times but I didn't 19 20 stay with her. I went to -- I went to the outpatient program 21 called Realization. 22 THE COURT: You went from there to Realization. 23 MR. MUÑOZ: Yeah. That was -- that was -- that was 24 before I committed a crime, and then once my fiancée bailed me

out, I went to -- back to the Realization program.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So stop for a second. I'm going to 2 ask Mr. Lichterman: Were there any releases you sought other 3 than the ones that Mr. Muñoz has already mentioned now? 4 MR. LICHTERMAN: I believe that's it. I'm just 5 checking to verify. Realization, Project Renewal, The 6 Bridge --7 THE COURT: I'm sorry? MR. LICHTERMAN: -- I believe there was one additional 8 9 one called Create, Create Incorporated. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Muñoz, do you know what that one is? 11 MR. MUÑOZ: That's not mental health ones. That was 12 just -- it was just a inpatient program I was in when I was on 13 parole. 14 THE COURT: That's drugs? MR. MUÑOZ: Yeah. 15 It was mandated inpatient program 16 that I had. I was on parole violation and they revoked and restored me to that program. They made me a free man as long 17 18 as I'd be in that inpatient program. 19 THE COURT: So when was this? What year or years? 20 MR. MUÑOZ: It was 2005. 21 THE COURT: Oh, it's older. 22 MR. MUÑOZ: No, that was -- yeah, I -- I think it was 23 from --24 THE COURT: It was before Project Renewal? 25 MR. MUÑOZ: 2005 to 2004, something like that. I'm

25

not really sure. I can't really remember. 1 2 Was it before Project Renewal? THE COURT: 3 MR. MUÑOZ: Yeah, it was a lot -- way before that. 4 THE COURT: Way before. Okay. So it seems to me that 5 from what you've described, the Realization program records 6 would clearly be relevant, probably in their entirety, at least 7 up to some reasonable date, but because that was before, during, and after the time of the arrest, that would seem to me 8 9 to be very relevant. 10 The Heritage House program, that would also seem to me 11 to be relevant because it's within a reasonable time prior to 12 the time of your arrest, okay? 13 As we go further back in time, Project Renewal and The 14 Bridge would seem to me to be somewhat less relevant, and 15 Create I would say we should probably skip at this time because that goes back too far in time. 16 17 So my real question is, Project Renewal and The Bridge, Mr. Lichtman, I'm wondering, if you get good solid 18 19 information from the Realization program and from Heritage 20 House, where there's probably going to be some indication of 21 the prior history --22 MR. MUÑOZ: Can I interject, your Honor? 23 THE COURT: Sure. 24 Project Renewal was -- that's how I got MR. MUÑOZ:

Heritage and Realization program, from Project Renewal.

THE COURT: They passed you along to another program?

MR. MUÑOZ: Yes. They the ones who connected me with

all that. Project Renewal was the one that connected me with

Heritage House housing, The Bridge --

THE COURT: Well, do you think --

MR. MUÑOZ: -- and Realization. Project Renewal was like the main program for me. Actually, I was doing good all the way until -- I was doing good prior to The Bridge and Realization, but once I went to Heritage House housing, that's when I kind of relapsed. That's when I messed up. So I absconded the program, I left, and I became homeless.

THE COURT: Okay. Wait, wait, wait. Stop a second. Do you think that if Mr. Lichterman or the Court saw the records from Realization and Heritage House, it would have a pretty full picture of your conditions at the time of the arrest, or do you think we'd need to go back to the Project Renewal records to get a full picture?

 $$\operatorname{MR.~MU\~NOZ}$ : I think -- I think both the Project Renewal, Heritage, and Realization.

THE COURT: Okay. So why don't you sign these three then, okay?

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. I've picked them out. I got

Project Renewal here, put them on the side. And the reason why

I haven't done this paperwork is because we -- it's very rarely
we get law library over here in Fishkill. It's like we have to

```
1
      sign a paper and --
 2
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
 3
               MR. MUÑOZ: But I saw the officer and most likely if I
 4
      approach him, most likely I could get this paperwork done
5
     probably tomorrow.
6
               THE COURT: So it's just a matter of signing it and
 7
      getting it back out the door.
               MR. MUÑOZ:
                          Yes. Project Renewal, Heritage?
8
9
               THE COURT:
                          Heritage.
10
               MR. MUÑOZ:
                           Okay.
11
               THE COURT:
                          And Realization.
               MR. MUÑOZ:
12
                          Okay. Okay. I got it.
13
               THE COURT:
                          Do you have a separate one for The Bridge?
14
               MR. MUÑOZ:
                          I got The Bridge too.
15
               THE COURT:
                           That goes along with Project Renewal?
               MR. MUÑOZ:
                          Yeah, it does.
16
17
               THE COURT:
                           So maybe that one too then. And then if
      you have one for Create, for now don't bother with that one,
18
19
      okay?
20
               MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. All right. So then I'll just take
21
      the Create out.
22
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
23
               MR. MUÑOZ:
                           That's a lot easier for me.
24
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. If you can sign the rest and send
25
      those back to counsel. I think you're claiming that you had
```

23

24

25

emotional harm as a result of your arrest, right? 1 2 MR. MUÑOZ: Yes. 3 THE COURT: So, you know, that's also relevant to 4 that, if you're putting in issue in the case whether you really 5 suffered emotional harm. 6 MR. MUÑOZ: I also have a letter that, at the time 7 when I was out on bail, my legal aid, Mr. Gurwitch, and the 8 judge, Magistrate Judge Margaret Clancy in the Bronx Supreme 9 Court, they were trying to get me into a -- like a -- sort of 10 like a mental program instead of doing time, but that didn't 11 happen. Once my bail got revoked, Realization program wrote me 12 a letter. I could make a copy of that letter and I could also 13 forward that. My psychiatrist was Ms. Aponte. 14 THE COURT: How do you spell that? MR. MUÑOZ: 15 It's got all the actions and medications I 16 was on. 17 THE COURT: How do you spell that, Aponte? MR. MUÑOZ: I think it's A-P-O-N-T-E. I think her 18 19 first name is -- her first name is Ms. Leia (ph). She works in 20 She's a psychiatrist there in the program. the Realization. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Lichterman, did you already 22 know that name?

sounds like Mr. Muñoz has those documents. We've requested

whatever documents he had in his possession and he has not

MR. LICHTERMAN: I'm not sure who that is, but it

25

```
provided these things, so we would ask that he provide those as
1
 2
      well.
 3
               THE COURT: Well --
 4
               MR. MUÑOZ: I mean, I could send the letter.
5
     problem is that this copy machine, not all the time you can get
6
      copies, so --
 7
               THE COURT: What is this letter, exactly? Basically,
8
      what does it say?
9
               MR. MUÑOZ: It was a letter -- excuse me.
                                                          It was a
10
      letter from my Legal Aid, Mr. Gurwitch, so he could present it
11
      during court, 'cause they were -- Mr. Gurwitch was trying to
12
      get me --
13
               THE COURT:
                          A program.
14
               MR. MUÑOZ: -- a program, mental health program,
15
      instead of doing time.
16
               THE COURT: So the letter attached a report from a
17
     psychiatrist?
               MR. MUÑOZ: Yeah. It's a letter from the whole team
18
      from Realization, the psychiatrists --
19
20
               THE COURT: They talked about what your --
21
               MR. MUÑOZ:
                          -- my case manager --
22
               THE COURT: And it talked about what your condition
23
      was?
24
               MR. MUÑOZ: Yeah. It's got all the actions and
```

medications that I was taking at the time.

THE COURT: Okay. So if you could manage to get a copy of that sent to Mr. Lichterman, that would also be excellent.

MR. MUÑOZ: I mean, if I can't get a copy, is it all right with the information of his -- I can forward him, as long as he can make a copy and send it back, and I believe he could -- I believe he's responsible, he will send -- forward it back to me, 'cause there's a problem here with this copy machine. I'm gonna try my best though.

THE COURT: Mr. Lichterman, if you get an original, if you could please make a copy and return the original to Mr. Muñoz.

MR. LICHTERMAN: Yes, that's fine, your Honor.

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. That shouldn't be no problem then. Then what I could do is, I saw the officer for the law library, he's all right with me. He understands my situation. And I put an assist for this weekend, but by any chance — I'm not sure it's on time to put my name on the callout for the library, but I believe I could speak to them and probably get down here tomorrow, so I could do that tomorrow, 'cause tomorrow they do — the notary is Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays, they do the notary here. This paperwork also has to be notarized.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. That would be fine.

MR. MUÑOZ: So by any chance, if it can't happen

tomorrow, then we have Monday, 'cause it's Monday, Wednesdays, and Fridays is the notary.

Now there's one more thing, Mr. Lichterman and Magistrate Judge Freeman. He sent me some copy of the transcripts of December 23<sup>rd</sup>, right? He wants me -- once I have everything notarized, he wants I guess the original to be notarized I guess or signed or --

THE COURT: Are you talking about the deposition transcript, when you were deposed?

MR. MUÑOZ: From December 23<sup>rd</sup>, yeah.

MR. LICHTERMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: So what you should do --

MR. MUÑOZ: Huh? On the last page it says signature, witness and date, right? He wants me to send this whole thing. I don't have no money to send this whole book. I mean, I could be able to send it like a little at a time.

THE COURT: Okay. Wait, wait, wait. Mr. Muñoz, first of all, you should read the transcript and see if it looks correct to you, okay?

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. Okay.

THE COURT: If you think that the court reporter made a mistake in writing down your answers, then you should on a piece of paper --

MR. MUÑOZ: The page number, right?

THE COURT: Yeah. On a piece of paper you should

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

indicate the page number and the line number, because the lines will all be numbered also on each page.

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay, okay.

THE COURT: You should indicate what the mistake is, okay?

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay.

And then you should indicate that you've THE COURT: read everything else and these are the only things you see as mistakes. And maybe there won't be any mistakes. You should indicate you read it and it appears accurate to you otherwise, and then you should sign that and date it, that piece of paper, okay? And then if you can't -- the last page of the transcript should give you a place to do that, but if you don't see a page where you can do that, you can do it on a separate piece of paper. And then the important thing is to send that piece of paper back to Mr. Lichterman because what he really cares about -- forgive me, Mr. Lichterman for speaking for you, but -- what he really cares about is that you've had an opportunity to review it and you confirm that it's accurate in terms of your testimony, and you've had a chance to read it and you sign it and you indicate that you read it. It's not as important that he receive the entire thing back. If you can send the entire thing back with a signature at the end, that's great, but if you can't, at least a piece of paper that indicates right on it that you've reviewed the December 23rd

transcript of your deposition and it's accurate and then you sign it. Now when I'm telling you take a look for mistakes, I don't mean you change a yes to a no or you do something that's totally different, but if there's a typo or there's something where the court reporter didn't quite catch what you were saying --

MR. MUÑOZ: Something I probably didn't say, right?

THE COURT: You can't change your testimony but you can correct it. So for example, if it said September 2012 and you say, oh, no, no, no, that's a mistake, it was 2011, you should indicate that, or if there's a typo or someone didn't quite understand what you said, it came out jumbled, you can make it clear. And the whole idea is that you've had a chance to look at it and you confirm that it is correct, okay?

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay, all right.

THE COURT: It's not an opportunity to completely change what you said, all right? It's just --

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. So I could be able to do that over this weekend, like Saturday. We have -- I mean, I'm going to ask the officer if he could let me come down, and it's a whole -- like a morning, and I could be able to do that with help from one of the law library clerks down there to help me.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MUÑOZ: And also, it's compatible, so we get five free legal mail letters, so I believe all these consent papers

for the programs I was in, I believe that will be maybe three letters, so it leaves me down to two, so I could probably just send him, you know, some pages, from 1 to maybe 16, and then the next week I could send him, you know --

THE COURT: No. That's okay. Save your mail, okay?

Do it this way instead. The letter that you have from the lawyer that attached the psychiatric report, okay, that you were talking about before, that's more important than sending him pages 1 through 15 of the transcript. So if you have an envelope where you can fit a couple of things, put that letter and the last page of the transcript with your signature and don't worry. If you're running out of legal mail, don't worry about the rest, the whole transcript, okay? Leave that for last. It's the least important thing to return, okay?

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lichterman, you're on board with this approach?

MR. LICHTERMAN: Yes, that's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now I also have on my list of things that need dealing with witnesses in the store. Is there anything else besides that?

MR. MUÑOZ: Well, I don't know the guy's name. It's kind of hard to track him down. It might take too long and then I don't want to delay my case looking for this guy.

THE COURT: Well, we do know who the owner of the

store was. The city has that. And they're just disclosing his initials, but they know who that person is.

Mr. Lichterman, have you been in touch with that person?

MR. LICHTERMAN: I've briefly been in touch with him, yes.

THE COURT: Do you think he might be willing to answer some questions in writing?

MR. LICHTERMAN: I mean, I know that he is concerned for his safety, but he may be willing to. You know, I know that, your Honor, you had given plaintiff an opportunity to submit some questions. I haven't received any questions from him.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. LICHTERMAN: If he sends me questions, I'll forward them to the store owner and we'll see if he'll be willing to respond.

THE COURT: Right. He could do it under penalty of perjury so he wouldn't need to have it separately notarized.

But I'm wondering, Mr. Muñoz, do you want to pose questions to the store owner?

MR. MUÑOZ: Yeah. I'm going to have to get my thoughts together, yeah.

THE COURT: All right. Well, get your thoughts together, okay? If you have some questions about what he saw

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that day, write out your questions, okay? If they aren't too complicated and there aren't too many, he might be willing to answer them, even without a subpoena. If he's not willing, at that point, Mr. Lichterman, I'll ask that you forward a copy of the questions to me, I'll take a look. If they look reasonable and appropriate, we'll try to figure out how we can arrange to have a subpoena served. It may be difficult because although someone is proceeding in forma pauperis, the marshals will serve an initial complaint. They don't generally serve subpoenas. But we'll take it as it comes. If he would agree voluntarily, that would be great. And if you could talk to him and explain that if he signs it under penalty of perjury, it would not have to be separately notarized, that might make it easier. So Mr. Muñoz, if you want to pose questions to the store owner, at least we've identified that person, right? Write those questions out.

MR. MUÑOZ: I don't have the person's name.

THE COURT: You don't need the person's name. Just send a letter to Mr. Lichterman, say these are questions for the store owner, okay? And then Mr. Lichterman knows who this person is. He will make a good-faith attempt to reach out to him and see if he will answer them, and then you'll report back to me next time as to whether he did, whether he agreed or not, whether there was a problem or not, and I'll take it one step at a time. I had extended discovery to, what, the end of this

1 month, right?

MR. LICHTERMAN: That's correct.

THE COURT: So to deal with these remaining things,

I'll kick it out a little bit more, but then it's going to come

to an end. So if you decide to ask questions, write them out

and send them; otherwise, your time is going to run out, okay,

Mr. Muñoz?

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. I could do that. I could do all of this by the weekend, Saturday.

THE COURT: Okay. So one of those envelopes you have -- again, that's more important than the transcript, okay?

MR. MUÑOZ: So that's going to be -- that will be for the consent forms of the MICA programs, the rehab programs.

THE COURT: Right. Here's what you have to send to Mr. Lichterman. Here's your list: the release form that we talked about except for Create, right?

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. Except for Create.

THE COURT: Except for Create. The letter that your lawyer sent that attached the report from Project Renewal.

MR. MUÑOZ: That was for Realization.

THE COURT: Okay. That's no. 2. No. 3, a signature page for the transcript that just indicates if you have corrections and that otherwise indicates that you've read it and it looks accurate to you, with your signature and date.

MR. MUÑOZ: Signature page of transcript. Okay. I

got it.

THE COURT: And with your name and your signature and the date on it, okay, indicating you've read it. And then finally, if you have questions for the store owner, send Mr. Lichterman, these are my questions for the store owner, okay? Those are the things you need to try to find envelopes to mail, okay?

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. I have that already, before. Got it.

THE COURT: All right. Let me just grab my calendar. One second.

Okay. I'm going to move this date one more month.

We'll move it to February 29 to get everything done. If a lawyer shows up on the scene and wants to take the case, I'll listen to what that lawyer has to say. Otherwise, your discovery is going to be done February 29. I'll schedule one more call to talk to you just around that time and then we'll figure out where the case goes next, okay?

MR. MUÑOZ: Could you tell Mr. Lichterman when will be the next phone call so that way it could be done at -- like the way they did it for today, they sent me a mandatory legal call callout paper. It was mailed to me. And that's a better way of me getting it done here on time and I don't have to get in trouble with my ORC counselor here.

THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. So Mr. Lichterman,

```
whatever you did worked, okay?
1
 2
               How about February 29 at 10:00?
               MR. MUÑOZ: February 29<sup>th</sup> at 10:00.
 3
               THE COURT: Mr. Lichterman, does that work for you?
 4
5
               MR. LICHTERMAN: Yes, that's good for me, your Honor.
6
               THE COURT: It's a Monday. Is that all right,
 7
     Mr. Muñoz?
               MR. MUÑOZ:
8
                          That's okay.
9
               THE COURT: All right. We'll put it there, and
10
      discovery should be done by that point, so make sure
11
      whatever --
                          I need it for February 29<sup>th</sup>, but I'll
12
               MR. MUÑOZ:
13
      have it no problem.
14
               THE COURT: Well, you need to do it sooner so that you
15
      can try to get answers back, whatever, but as soon as you can.
               MR. MUÑOZ: I'll be able to do it from tomorrow all
16
17
      the way to Monday. That's no problem.
               THE COURT: Perfect. Okay. All those things on your
18
      checklist, and we'll do a little order of this that summarizes
19
20
      things. It may take a while for you to get that though. But
      when you get it, get my order, go down and make sure you've
21
22
      done all the things that are on there, okay? If you've already
23
      done all of the things that are on there, that's great. If
24
      not, it's your reminder for what still has to happen, okay?
25
               MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. So what I could do also, is this
```

possible, if Mr. Lichterman could send me a letter saying that the ending of disposition -- discovery would be February 29<sup>th</sup>? If I could get a letter stating that, then I would have access to this law library here.

THE COURT: I'll put it in an order and we'll send that to you.

MR. MUÑOZ: Yeah. Then I could have access to law library and I could be able to do some research and do the whole -- that I have to do.

THE COURT: I'll get an order out to you soon, okay, that will say that. And read what I send you to make sure that you've got everything under control, okay?

MR. MUÑOZ: I got number one release for the consent programs of the mental health and MICA, rehab programs, except for Project Create. And no. 2, a letter of the Realization program that I have. 3, signature page of the transcript, my name and signature and the date.

THE COURT: And any corrections.

MR. MU $ilde{ ext{NOZ}}$ : And 4 is pose questions for the store owner.

THE COURT: Right. On that signature page for the transcript, any corrections.

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. And any corrections. Okay.

THE COURT: And indicate if there are no corrections or, if there are no more corrections, say that, okay?

MR. MUÑOZ: Okay. If no -- if corrections needed, 1 2 right? 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's perfect. And Mr. Lichterman, as always, if you could order a copy of the 4 transcript of this. I have not been getting transcripts. 5 Actually, I'm not sure. They may be on the docket. I usually 6 7 get a courtesy copy when it's on the docket but not always. 8 MR. LICHTERMAN: I've been sending them. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you very much. 10 Thank you both. 11 MR. LICHTERMAN: Thank you, your Honor. 12 MR. MUÑOZ: You have a nice day and afternoon, 13 Magistrate Judge Freeman. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: You too. Take care. Bye-bye. 15 MR. LICHTERMAN: Bye. MR. MUÑOZ: Bye-bye. 16 17 000 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25